

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,585	07/11/2003	Donald Albert Paquet JR.	FA1048USNA	3692
	7590 06/23/201 DE NEMOURS AND	EXAMINER		
LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1122B 4417 LANCASTER PIKE			CHEUNG, WILLIAM K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WILMINGTON		1796		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-Legal.PRC@usa.dupont.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/617,585	PAQUET ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	WILLIAM K. CHEUNG	1796		

	WILLIAM K. CHEUNG	1796					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 15 June 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ul>							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In the overent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date whave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of a under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any perly received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause				
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ul>		E below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		.,,	,				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: 1.3.5-17.19-21 and 26-30.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1.4 and 22-25.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	1 h - f		ha antonia				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. \( \subseteq  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance be See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							

/William K Cheung/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796 June 17, 2010 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner acknowledges the typographical error where the cancelled claim 18 was included into the rejection of paragraph 4 of the office action of paragraph 2.010. However, the typographical error would not affection the rejection status of the other claimsed examined with merit. Regarding applicants' argument that the claims are allowable becauses the examples of Singer et al. only teach the use of functional methacrylates with tertiary amine, where applicants' claims requires primary amine or secondary amine, the examiner disagrees because applicants fail to recognize that Singer et al. (col. 22, line 20) clearly teach the use os glycidy methacrylate allow epoxy group containing methacrylate, which applicants consider as functional methacrylate. Further, applicants must recognize that the claims as written do not exclude compositions comprising a tertiary amine containing methacrylate in view of "comprising". Regarding the scope of the teachings in Singer et al., although claim 9 of Singer et al. (col. 28-29) cleary claim a amino methacrylate containing primary, secondary, and tertiary amines since claim 10 is used to further limit the scope of claims 1 and 9. Although claims 1 and 9 of ont specifically indicate that primary and secondary are preferable, claims 1 and 9. Regarding the argument on molecular weight, Singer et al., col. 28, claim 7) clearly indicate are weight everage molecular weight form 1000 to 4,000, which fully encompasses the range of 1000 to 4500 being claimed. Therefore, the examiner has a reasonable basis to believe that claims 1,35-17,19-21 and 26-30 are adequately rejected for the reasons set forth in the final rejection of April 13, 21-41 and 26-30 are adequately rejected for the reasons set forth in the final rejection of April 13, 21-41 and 26-30 are adequately rejected for the reasons set forth in the final rejection of April 13, 21-41 and 26-30 are adequated rejected for the reasons set forth